

The Split-Algebras and Non-compact Hopf Maps

Kazuki Hasebe

Department of General Education,
Kagawa National College of Technology,
Takuma-cho, Mitoyo-city, Kagawa 769-1192, Japan
email: hasebe@dg.kagawa-nct.ac.jp

Abstract

We develop a non-compact version of the Hopf maps based on the split-algebras. The split-algebras consist of three species: split-complex numbers, split-quaternions, and split-octonions. They correspond to three non-compact Hopf maps that represent topological maps between hyperboloids in different dimensions with hyperboloid-bundle. We realize such non-compact Hopf maps in two ways: one is to utilize the split-imaginary unit, and the other is to utilize the ordinary imaginary unit. Topological structures of the hyperboloid-bundles are explored, and the canonical connections are naturally regarded as non-compact gauge field of monopoles.

1 Introduction

In the sequel papers in 1931 and 1935 [1, 2], Heinz Hopf introduced the notion of topological maps from sphere to sphere in different dimensions:

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} & & S^3 & \xrightarrow{S^1} & S^2 & (1\text{st}) \\ & S^7 & \longrightarrow & S^4 & & (2\text{nd}) \\ S^{15} & \longrightarrow & S^8 & & & (3\text{rd}) \end{array}$$

Such maps are entitled as the Hopf maps in honor of his name. There are no other fibrations between spheres with sphere-bundle according to Adams' theorem [3]. The importance of the Hopf maps is now widely appreciated in fibre-bundle theory of mathematics, and in applications of topology to physics [4, 5]. In particular, the three Hopf maps have direct relevance to monopoles; the 1st Hopf map is the underlying mathematics of Dirac's $U(1)$ monopole [6], the 2nd Hopf map is that of Yang's $SU(2)$ monopole [7], and the 3rd Hopf map is that of the $SO(8)$ monopole [8]. Interestingly, the Hopf maps are deeply related to the normed division algebras; *i.e.* complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, quaternions $\mathbb{H}$, and octonions $\mathbb{O}$ [9]. In the language of the division algebras, the Hopf maps can be restated as

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} & & S_{\mathbb{C}}^1 & \xrightarrow{S_{\mathbb{R}}^1} & \mathbb{C}P^1 & (1\text{st}) \\ & S_{\mathbb{H}}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}P^1 & & (2\text{nd}) \\ S_{\mathbb{O}}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{O}P^1 & & & (3\text{rd}) \end{array}$$

It would be worthwhile to mention the groups relevant to the Hopf maps. The isometries of the base manifolds, S^2 , S^4 and S^8 , are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} SO(3) &\simeq SU(2)/Z_2 \simeq USp(2)/Z_2, \\ SO(5) &\simeq USp(4)/Z_2, \\ SO(9), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $USp(2n)$ denotes the compact symplectic group. Similarly, the holonomy groups of the base manifolds are

$$\begin{aligned} SO(2) &\simeq U(1) \simeq S^1, \\ SO(4) &\simeq SU(2) \times SU(2) \simeq S^3 \times S^3, \\ SO(8) &\simeq S^7 \times S^7 \times G_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

It should be noticed that the holonomy groups and the sphere-bundles are closely related: The sphere-bundles, S^1 , S^3 and S^7 , appear on RHS in the expression of the holonomy groups (2). The 1st and 2nd Hopf maps are special in the sense that their sphere-bundles have counterparts of the (special) unitary group manifolds, *i.e.* $U(1) \simeq S^1$, $SU(2) \simeq S^3$. (There does not exist a corresponding group manifold with S^7 .) The automorphism groups of the division algebras are respectively given by

$$Aut(\mathbb{C}) = Z_2, \quad Aut(\mathbb{H}) = SO(3), \quad Aut(\mathbb{O}) = G_2. \tag{3}$$

Few years after the discovery of quaternions by William R. Hamilton [10], James Cockle introduced the notion of the split-algebras [11, 12]. Similar to the original division algebras, there exist three species of split-algebras; split-complex numbers $\mathbb{C}'$, split-quaternions $\mathbb{H}'$, and split-octonions $\mathbb{O}'$. The split-algebras have the properties similar to the original division algebras except for their split signatures¹. Based on the split-algebras, we introduce a notion of non-compact Hopf maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_{\mathbb{C}'}^1 & \xrightarrow{H_{\mathbb{R}}^1} \mathbb{C}'P^1 & (1st) \\ H_{\mathbb{H}'}^1 & \xrightarrow{H_{\mathbb{H}'}^1} & \mathbb{H}'P^1 & (2nd) \\ H_{\mathbb{O}'}^1 & \xrightarrow{H_{\mathbb{O}'}^1} & \mathbb{O}'P^1 & (3rd) \end{array}$$

where H^1 represents “one-dimensional” hyperboloid (hyperbola) in the space of the corresponding split-algebras. Defining higher dimensional hyperboloids (ultra-hyperboloids) $H^{p,q}$ ²:

$$\sum_{i=1}^p x^i x^i - \sum_{j=1}^{q+1} y^j y^j = -1, \tag{4}$$

¹There also exists another type of quaternions called hyperbolic quaternions introduced by Alexander Macfarlane [13] corresponding to Lorentzian signature. However, the hyperbolic quaternions do not respect associativity and it is not probable to construct another Hopf map based on hyperbolic quaternions.

²In particular, $S^n = H^{0,n}$, $dS^n = H^{1,n-1}$, and $AdS^n = H^{n-1,1}$.

the non-compact Hopf maps can be expressed as

$$\begin{array}{rclcl}
& & H^{2,1} & \xrightarrow{H^{1,0}} & H^{1,1} & (1\text{st}) \\
& H^{4,3} & \longrightarrow & H^{2,2} & & (2\text{nd}) \\
H^{8,7} & \longrightarrow & H^{4,4} & & & (3\text{rd})
\end{array}$$

The relevant groups of the non-compact Hopf maps are summarized as follows. The isometries of the base manifolds, $H^{1,1}$, $H^{2,2}$ and $H^{4,4}$, are

$$\begin{aligned}
SO(2, 1) &\simeq SU(1, 1)/Z_2 \simeq Sp(2, R)/Z_2, \\
SO(3, 2) &\simeq Sp(4, R)/Z_2, \\
SO(5, 4), &
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $Sp(2n, R)$ represents the real symplectic group. The holonomy groups of the base manifolds are respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
SO(1, 1) &\simeq \mathcal{U}(1) \simeq H^{1,0}, \\
SO(2, 2) &\simeq SU(1, 1) \times SU(1, 1) \simeq H^{2,1} \times H^{2,1}, \\
SO(4, 4) &\simeq H^{4,3} \times H^{4,3} \times G_{2(2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(The group $\mathcal{U}(1)$ will be defined in Subsec.3.1.) On RHS in the expression of the non-compact holonomy groups (6), one may notice that there appear the hyperboloid-bundles, $H^{1,0}$, $H^{2,1}$ and $H^{4,3}$. The 1st and 2nd non-compact Hopf maps are special in the sense that their hyperboloid-bundles have the counterparts of the non-compact (special) unitary group manifolds, *i.e.* $\mathcal{U}(1) \simeq H^{1,0}$, $SU(1, 1) \simeq H^{2,1}$. (There does not exist a corresponding group manifold with $H^{4,3}$.) The automorphism groups of the split-algebras are given by

$$Aut(\mathbb{C}') = Z_2, \quad Aut(\mathbb{H}') = SO(2, 1), \quad Aut(\mathbb{O}') = G_{2(2)}. \tag{7}$$

Apparently, there are close analogies between the relevant groups of the original and the non-compact Hopf maps.

In this paper, we explore an explicit realization of the non-compact Hopf maps. We introduce two ways of the realization, one of which (referred as “Realization I” in the context) is performed by using the split-imaginary unit j : $j^2 = 1$, and the other (referred as “Realization II”) by the ordinary imaginary unit i : $i^2 = -1$. The generators of non-compact groups are represented by finite-dimensional “hermitian” matrices, with use of the split-imaginary unit. Taking advantage of this property, in Realization I, we demonstrate the realization of the non-compact Hopf maps quite analogously to that of the original Hopf maps³. In Realization II, we adopt the ordinary imaginary unit, and realize the non-compact Hopf maps. There, finite-dimensional non-hermitian matrices are utilized to express the non-compact generators. Topological structures of hyperboloid bundles are also explored. Physically, the canonical connection of

³The realization of the original Hopf maps can be referred to Refs.[1, 2, 14, 15]

each of three non-compact Hopf maps is interpreted as $SO(1,1)$, $SU(1,1)$ and $SO(4,4)$ monopole gauge field.

The organizations are as follows. In Sec.2, as a preliminary, we introduce 0th non-compact Hopf map. In Sec.3, Sec.4 and Sec.5, we develop the non-compact version of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Hopf maps, respectively. The $SO(2,1)$, $SO(3,2)$ Dirac, and $SO(5,4)$ Majorana spinors play crucial roles in constructing the non-compact Hopf maps. Sec.6 is devoted to summary and discussions. In Appendix A, the non-compact supersymmetric (1st) Hopf map is provided.

2 0th Non-compact Hopf Map

As a warm-up, we first introduce the non-compact version of the 0th Hopf map. The original 0th Hopf map is given by

$$S^1 \xrightarrow{Z_2} S^1, \quad (8)$$

where 1D sphere S^1 , *i.e.*, circle is defined as usual:

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1. \quad (9)$$

The map (8) is realized by identifying “opposite points” on the circle:

$$(x_1, x_2) \sim -(x_1, x_2). \quad (10)$$

We introduce the non-compact version of the 0th Hopf map

$$H^{1,0} \xrightarrow{Z_2} H^{1,0}, \quad (11)$$

where $H^{1,0}$ is one-dimensional hyperboloid, *i.e.*, hyperbola defined by

$$x_1^2 - x_2^2 = -1, \quad (12)$$

and the hyperbola consists of two branches; left and right in (x_2, x_1) -space. The non-compact 0th Hopf map is realized by identifying the “opposite points” (with respect to the origin) between the right and left branches of the hyperbola as indicated by Eq.(10), and the $H^{1,0}$ on RHS of (11) represents one-branch of hyperbola. The 0th non-compact Hopf map is explicitly given by

$$(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (y_1, y_2) = (2x_1x_2, x_1^2 + x_2^2). \quad (13)$$

One immediately finds that y_1 and y_2 satisfy the hyperbola condition, $y_1^2 - y_2^2 = -(x_1^2 - x_2^2)^2 = -1$.

3 1st Non-compact Hopf Map

The 1st non-compact Hopf map is introduced as

$$H^{2,1} \xrightarrow{H^{1,0}} H^{1,1}, \quad (14)$$

or

$$AdS^3 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}(1)} AdS^2. \quad (15)$$

Here, AdS^n denotes the n -dimensional anti de Sitter space, and the base manifold $AdS^2 \simeq H^{1,1}$ is a two-dimensional one-leaf hyperboloid.

3.1 Split-Complex Numbers

The split-imaginary unit j is introduced so as to satisfy

$$j^2 = 1, \quad j^* = -j, \quad (16)$$

where $*$ denotes complex conjugation. With two real numbers x and y , the split-complex number is defined as

$$z = x + jy, \quad (17)$$

and its complex conjugation is

$$z^* = x - jy. \quad (18)$$

Then, we find

$$z^* z = z z^* = x^2 - y^2. \quad (19)$$

The “normalized” split-complex number satisfying $z^* z = z z^* = -1$ represents $H^{1,0}$ ⁴. The hyperbola $H_{\mathbb{C}'}^1$ in the 2D split-complex space is defined as

$$z^* z - z'^* z' = x^2 - y^2 - x'^2 + y'^2 = -1, \quad (20)$$

and thus $H_{\mathbb{C}'}^1$ represents $H^{2,1}$.

Utilizing the split-imaginary unit j instead of i , we define a *non-compact* $\mathcal{U}(1)$ group whose element is given by

$$e^{j\vartheta} = \cosh \vartheta + j \sinh \vartheta, \quad (21)$$

which has one-to-one correspondence to the $SO(1,1)$ group element

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \vartheta & \sinh \vartheta \\ \sinh \vartheta & \cosh \vartheta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, the $\mathcal{U}(1)$ group is isomorphic to the $SO(1,1)$ group

$$\mathcal{U}(1) \simeq SO(1,1). \quad (23)$$

With real numbers x and y , the $SO(1,1)$ group element is generally represented as $g = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$ satisfying the constraint $\det(g) = x^2 - y^2 = 1$. This constraint coincides with the definition of $H^{1,0}$, and then

$$\mathcal{U}(1) \simeq H^{1,0}. \quad (24)$$

⁴With existence of negative signature, positive norm (or more generally magnitude) is not properly defined. However, for brevity, in the present paper, we adopt the terminology “normalized” even for spinor whose self-inner product is -1.

3.2 Realization I

First, we introduce the split-Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -j \\ j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

The split-imaginary unit j appears in σ^2 , and the split Pauli matrices satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{\sigma^i, \sigma^j\} = 2\eta^{ij}, \quad (26)$$

where $\eta^{ij} = \eta_{ij} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1)$. The split-Pauli matrices can also be regarded as the gamma matrices in (2+1)D. The split-Pauli matrices are hermitian and satisfy the $SU(1, 1)$ algebra

$$[\sigma^i, \sigma^j] = 2j\epsilon^{ij}_k \sigma^k, \quad (27)$$

with $\epsilon^{123} = 1$. It is noted that, in general, generators of non-compact groups cannot be expressed by finite-dimensional hermitian matrices, but here, because of the special property of the split-imaginary unit j (16), the $SU(1, 1)$ generators can be represented by hermitian and finite-dimensional matrices. Since σ^2 satisfies

$$-(\sigma^i)^* = \sigma^2 \sigma^i (\sigma^2)^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

σ^2 is the charge conjugation matrix of $SU(1, 1)$, and has the following properties

$$(\sigma^2)^{-1} = -\sigma^2 = (\sigma^2)^t = (\sigma^2)^*. \quad (29)$$

The consistency condition is satisfied as

$$(\sigma^2)^* \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 (\sigma^2)^* = 1_2. \quad (30)$$

(Here, 1_2 denotes 2×2 unit matrix.)

With use of the split Pauli matrices (25), the 1st non-compact Hopf map is expressed as

$$\phi \rightarrow x^i = \phi^\dagger \sigma^i \phi. \quad (31)$$

Here, ϕ denotes a $SU(1, 1)$ Dirac spinor (the non-compact 1st Hopf spinor)

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

subject to the “normalization condition”

$$\phi^\dagger \phi = u^* u + v^* v = u_R^2 - u_I^2 + v_R^2 - v_I^2 = 1, \quad (33)$$

where $u = u_R + ju_I$, $v = v_R + jv_I$. (The lower indices R and I represent real and imaginary parts, respectively.) Thus, ϕ denotes coordinates on $H^{2,1}$. The components of the $SU(1, 1)$ vector x^i (31) are given by

$$x^1 = u^* v + v^* u, \quad x^2 = -ju^* v + jv^* u, \quad x^3 = u^* u - v^* v, \quad (34)$$

and they satisfy

$$\sum_{i,j=1,2,3} \eta_{ij} x^i x^j = (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 = (\phi^\dagger \phi)^2 = 1. \quad (35)$$

Then, x^i denote coordinates on $H^{1,1}$.

On the upper patch of $H^{1,1}$ ($x^3 \geq 0$), we invert the map (31) to represent ϕ as

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^3)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+x^3 \\ x^1 - jx^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

The canonical connection of $H^{1,0}$ -fibre is induced as

$$A = dx^i A_i = -j\phi^\dagger d\phi, \quad (37)$$

with

$$A_i = \frac{1}{2(1+x^3)} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j. \quad (38)$$

The curvature is derived as

$$F = dA = \frac{1}{2} dx^i \wedge dx^j F_{ij} \quad (39)$$

where

$$F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} x^k. \quad (40)$$

Similarly, on the lower patch ($x^3 \leq 0$), the Hopf spinor satisfying (31) is given by

$$\phi' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-x^3)}} \begin{pmatrix} x^1 + jx^2 \\ 1-x^3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

The corresponding canonical connection is

$$A'_i = -\frac{1}{2(1-x^3)} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j, \quad (42)$$

and the curvature $F'_{ij} = \partial_i A'_j - \partial_j A'_i$ is the same (40). The $\mathcal{U}(1)$ transition function which connects the fibres on two patches is given by

$$g = \frac{x^1 + jx^2}{\sqrt{1-(x^3)^2}}. \quad (43)$$

It satisfies the condition $g^* g = g g^* = 1$. The function g is the map from a point on $H^{1,0}$ to a group element of $\mathcal{U}(1)$, since the coordinates $\hat{x}^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(x^3)^2}} x^i$ ($i = 1, 2$) in g satisfy $\hat{x}^1 \hat{x}^1 - \hat{x}^2 \hat{x}^2 = -1$ and represent $H^{1,0}$. The manifold $H^{1,0}$ is the “equator” of the base manifold $H^{1,1}$, and the transition function (43) specifies the “gluing” of the $\mathcal{U}(1)$ fibres on the upper and lower patches on the “equator” of the base manifold, as usual. The differential of g yields

$$-jg^* dg = -j dgg^* = -\frac{1}{1-(x^3)^2} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j dx^i. \quad (44)$$

Then, the canonical connections (38) and (42) are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} A_i dx^i &= j \frac{1}{2} (1 - x^3) g^* dg, \\ A'_i dx^i &= -j \frac{1}{2} (1 + x^3) g^* dg, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and we confirm the relation

$$A'_i dx^i = A_i dx^i - j g^* dg, \quad (46)$$

and

$$F'_{ij} = F_{ij}. \quad (47)$$

Here we add some comments. Introducing a radial coordinate r in the hyperbolic space as

$$r^2 = \eta_{ij} x^i x^j = (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2, \quad (48)$$

the curvature (40) becomes

$$F_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2r^3} \epsilon_{ijk} x^k. \quad (49)$$

This expression is superficially equivalent to that of the Dirac monopole, but there is a crucial difference. The location of monopole corresponds to the singularity point $r = 0$. For the ordinary Dirac monopole, such condition can be satisfied only at the origin $x^i = 0$, since the space is Euclidean. However, for the non-compact monopole, due to the hyperbolic signature, such condition can be satisfied at any point on surface of light-cone: $(x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 = 0$. Therefore, the “localization” of the non-compact monopole may be understood as the surface of the light-cone.

3.3 Realization II

In the case of the ordinary imaginary unit, the non-compact fibre $\mathcal{U}(1) \simeq H^{1,0}$ is replaced by the compact fibre $U(1) \simeq H^{0,1}$, and then the 1st non-compact Hopf map takes the different form from (14):

$$H^{2,1} \xrightarrow{H^{0,1}} H^{2,0}, \quad (50)$$

or

$$AdS^3 \xrightarrow{U(1)} \text{Euclid}.AdS^2. \quad (51)$$

$\text{Euclid}.AdS^2$ is a two-leaf hyperboloid, while AdS^2 in (15) is a connected (one-leaf) hyperboloid.

The $SU(1,1) (\simeq SO(2,1))$ generators τ^i are realized as

$$\tau^1 = i\sigma^1, \quad \tau^2 = i\sigma^2, \quad \tau^3 = \sigma^3, \quad (52)$$

where σ^i denote the ordinary Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (53)$$

The matrices τ^i are non-hermitian and satisfy

$$(\tau^i)^\dagger = -\tau_i. \quad (54)$$

In detail, τ^1 and τ^2 are anti-hermitian, while τ^3 is hermitian. The matrices τ^i satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{\tau^i, \tau^j\} = -2\eta^{ij}, \quad (55)$$

with $\eta^{ij} = \eta_{ij} = \text{diag}(+, +, -)$, and their commutation relations are

$$[\tau^i, \tau^j] = 2i\epsilon^{ijk}\tau_k. \quad (56)$$

The charge conjugation matrix σ^1 satisfies

$$-(\tau^i)^* = \sigma^1 \tau^i (\sigma^1)^{-1}, \quad (57)$$

and has the properties

$$(\sigma^1)^{-1} = (\sigma^1)^t = (\sigma^1)^* = (\sigma^1)^\dagger. \quad (58)$$

By a unitary transformation, σ^1 can be diagonalized to give σ^3 . Multiplying σ^3 to τ^i , hermitian matrices are constructed as

$$\sigma^3 \tau^i = (-\sigma^2, \sigma^1, 1). \quad (59)$$

A “normalized” $SO(2, 1)$ Dirac spinor $\phi = (u, v)^t$ (1st non-compact Hopf spinor) is introduced as

$$\phi^\dagger \sigma^3 \phi = u^* u - v^* v = u_R^2 + u_I^2 - v_R^2 - v_I^2 = 1, \quad (60)$$

and ϕ represents the coordinates on $H^{2,1}$. We now realize the 1st non-compact Hopf map (50) explicitly

$$\phi \rightarrow x^i = \phi^\dagger \sigma^3 \tau^i \phi, \quad (61)$$

or

$$x^1 = iu^* v - iv^* u, \quad x^2 = u^* v + v^* u, \quad x^3 = u^* u + v^* v, \quad (62)$$

which denote coordinates on $H^{2,0}$ as found

$$\sum_{i,j=1,2,3} \eta_{ij} x^i x^j = x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = -(\phi^\dagger \sigma^3 \phi)^2 = -1. \quad (63)$$

On the upper leaf of $H^{2,0}$ ($x^3 \geq 1$), the 1st non-compact Hopf spinor can be expressed as

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^3)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+x^3 \\ x^2 - ix^1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (64)$$

The associated canonical connection is evaluated as

$$A = -i\phi^\dagger \sigma^3 d\phi = dx^i A_i, \quad (65)$$

with

$$A_i = -\frac{1}{2(1+x^3)} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j. \quad (66)$$

Similarly, the curvature is derived

$$F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} x^k. \quad (67)$$

A non-singular canonical connection on the lower leaf ($x^3 \leq -1$) can be given by

$$A'_i = \frac{1}{2(1-x^3)} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j, \quad (68)$$

and the corresponding curvature is

$$F'_{ij} = F_{ij}. \quad (69)$$

Unlike the canonical connection in Realization I, the present canonical connection on two-leaf hyperboloid may be topologically trivial, since each leaf is topologically equivalent to a 2D-plane.

4 2nd Non-compact Hopf Map

In this section, based on the split-quaternions, we explore a realization of the 2nd non-compact Hopf map:

$$H^{4,3} \xrightarrow{H^{2,1}} H^{2,2}. \quad (70)$$

4.1 Split-Quaternions

The split-quaternions, $1, q_1, q_2, q_3$, are introduced so as to satisfy the following algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^2 &= -q_2^2 = q_3^2 = q_1 q_2 q_3 = 1, \\ q_i q_j &= -q_j q_i \quad (i \neq j), \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. As in the case of quaternions, the commutativity does not hold in the algebra of the split-quaternions. Other relations such as

$$q_1 q_2 = q_3, \quad q_2 q_3 = q_1, \quad q_3 q_1 = -q_2, \quad (72)$$

can be derived by (71). The conjugation of the split-quaternions is given by

$$1^* = 1, \quad q_i^* = -q_i. \quad (73)$$

A split-quaternion is generally represented as

$$h = r_0 1 + r_1 q_1 + r_2 q_2 + r_3 q_3, \quad (74)$$

and its conjugation becomes

$$h^* = r_0 1 - r_1 q_1 - r_2 q_2 - r_3 q_3. \quad (75)$$

Then, we find

$$h^* h = h h^* = r_0^2 - r_1^2 + r_2^2 - r_3^2. \quad (76)$$

Thus, the self-inner product of split-quaternions possesses the split-signatures, two of which are positive and the other two are negative. The “normalized” split-quaternion is defined so as to satisfy $h^* h = h h^* = -1$, which represents $H^{2,1}$. Similarly, the hyperbola $H_{\mathbb{H}'}^1$ in the 2D split-quaternion space is defined as

$$h^* h - h'^* h = r_0^2 - r_1^2 + r_2^2 - r_3^2 - r_0'^2 + r_1'^2 - r_2'^2 + r_3'^2 = -1, \quad (77)$$

and thus, $H_{\mathbb{H}'}^1$ is equal to $H^{4,3}$.

It is also important to notice that the split-quaternions are realized with use of the split-Pauli matrices:

$$q_i = j \sigma^i, \quad (78)$$

where j in front of σ^i are needed to satisfy Eq.(73) with the definition $(q_i)^* = (j \sigma^i)^\dagger$.

4.2 Realization I

The $SO(3, 2)$ anticommutation relations are given by

$$\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = -2\eta^{ab}, \quad (79)$$

with $\eta^{ab} = \eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1, -1, -1)$. The $SO(3, 2)$ gamma matrices $\gamma^a = (\gamma^i, \gamma^4, \gamma^5)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) can be represented as

$$\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \sigma^i \\ -j \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (80)$$

The “split-quaternions” appear in the off-diagonal elements of γ^a . Just as in the case of $SU(1, 1)$, with the use of j , the $SO(3, 2)$ gamma matrices are represented by hermitian matrices: γ^1, γ^3 are pure imaginary antisymmetric matrices, and γ^2, γ^4 and γ^5 are real symmetric matrices. Then, the $SO(3, 2)$ generators constructed by the formula $\sigma^{ab} = -j \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^a, \gamma^b]$ are also represented by hermitian and finite dimensional matrices. The charge conjugation matrix of $SO(3, 2)$ is constructed by multiplying the purely imaginary gamma matrices,

$$b = j \gamma^1 \gamma^3 = - \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = j \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad (81)$$

where

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (82)$$

Indeed, the matrix b (81) satisfies

$$b\gamma_a b^{-1} = \gamma_a^*, \quad b\sigma_{ab} b^{-1} = -\sigma_{ab}^*, \quad (83)$$

and

$$b^{-1} = -b = b^t = b^*. \quad (84)$$

The consistency condition is satisfied,

$$b^* b = b b^* (= -b^2) = 1. \quad (85)$$

The charge conjugation of $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4)^t$ is constructed as

$$\psi_c = -b^{-1}\psi^* = b\psi^*, \quad (86)$$

and its self-inner product becomes

$$\psi_c^\dagger \psi_c = -\psi^\dagger \psi. \quad (87)$$

With the above preparation, we now realize the 2nd non-compact Hopf map as

$$\psi \rightarrow x^a = \psi^\dagger \gamma^a \psi, \quad (88)$$

where ψ denotes a “normalized” $SO(3, 2)$ spinor subject to the condition

$$\psi^\dagger \psi = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \psi_\mu^* \psi_\mu = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 (\psi_R^\mu)^2 - \sum_{\mu=1}^4 (\psi_I^\mu)^2 = 1, \quad (89)$$

with $\psi^\mu = \psi_R^\mu + j\psi_I^\mu$. The condition (89) is the definition of $H^{4,3}$, and then ψ represents coordinates on $H^{4,3}$. Meanwhile, the explicit formulas for x^a (88) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= -j(\psi_4^* \psi_1 + \psi_3^* \psi_2 - \psi_2^* \psi_3 - \psi_1^* \psi_4), \\ x^2 &= -\psi_4^* \psi_1 + \psi_3^* \psi_2 + \psi_2^* \psi_3 - \psi_1^* \psi_4, \\ x^3 &= -j(\psi_3^* \psi_1 - \psi_4^* \psi_2 - \psi_1^* \psi_3 + \psi_2^* \psi_4), \\ x^4 &= \psi_3^* \psi_1 + \psi_4^* \psi_2 + \psi_2^* \psi_3 + \psi_1^* \psi_4, \\ x^5 &= \psi_1^* \psi_1 + \psi_2^* \psi_2 - \psi_3^* \psi_3 - \psi_4^* \psi_4, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

and satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{a,b=1,2,3,4,5} \eta_{ab} x^a x^b = (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 - (x^4)^2 - (x^5)^2 = -(\psi^\dagger \psi)^2 = -1. \quad (91)$$

Thus, x^a represent coordinates on $H^{2,2}$.

Inverting the 2nd non-compact Hopf map (88) on the upper patch of $H^{2,2}$ ($x^5 \geq 0$), the non-compact Hopf spinor can be represented as

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^5)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^5)\phi \\ (x^4 + jx^i\sigma_i)\phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (92)$$

where ϕ is a “normalized” 2-component spinor (33) representing the AdS^3 -fibre. A straightforward calculation shows that ϕ is cancelled in the map (88). Utilizing the explicit form (92), the canonical connection of AdS^3 -fibre is evaluated as

$$A = -j\psi^\dagger d\psi = dx^a \phi^\dagger A_a \phi, \quad (93)$$

where

$$A_m = -\frac{1}{2(1+x^5)} \eta_{mni} x^n \sigma^i, \\ A_5 = 0. \quad (94)$$

Here, $m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and η_{mni} is the split-'t Hooft symbol made of the split-metric $\eta_{mn} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1, -1)$ as

$$\eta_{mni} = \epsilon_{mni} - \eta_{mi}\eta_{n4} + \eta_{m4}\eta_{ni}. \quad (95)$$

The curvature

$$F = dA - jA \wedge A = \frac{1}{2} dx^a \wedge dx^b F_{ab} \quad (96)$$

or

$$F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a - j[A_a, A_b] \quad (97)$$

is computed as

$$F_{mn} = x_m A_n - x_n A_m + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{mni} \sigma^i, \\ F_{m5} = -F_{5m} = -(1+x^5)A_m. \quad (98)$$

In the lower patch ($x^5 \leq 0$), the 2nd non-compact Hopf spinor can be expressed as

$$\psi' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-x^5)}} \begin{pmatrix} (x^4 - jx^i\sigma_i)\phi \\ (1-x^5)\phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (99)$$

and the canonical connection is derived as

$$A' = -j\psi'^\dagger d\psi = dx^a \phi'^\dagger A'_a \phi \quad (100)$$

where

$$A'_m = -\frac{1}{2(1-x^5)} \bar{\eta}_{mni} x^n \sigma^i, \\ A'_5 = 0, \quad (101)$$

with $\bar{\eta}_{mni} = \epsilon_{mni} + \eta_{mi}\eta_{n4} - \eta_{m4}\eta_{ni}$. The corresponding curvature becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{mn} &= x_m A'_n - x_n A'_m + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta}_{mni} \sigma^i, \\ F'_{m5} &= -F_{5m} = (1 - x^5) A'_m. \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

The transition function which connects (92) and (99) is given by

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (x^5)^2}} (x^4 - j x^i \sigma_i), \quad (103)$$

and it satisfies $g^\dagger g = 1$. The function g is the map from a point on $H^{2,1}$ to an element of the structure group $SU(1, 1)$, since the coordinates $\hat{x}^m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (x^5)^2}} x^m$ in g satisfy $\eta_{mn} \hat{x}^m \hat{x}^n = -1$ and represent $H^{2,1}$. The transition function specifies the “gluing” of the $SU(1, 1)$ fibres on upper and lower patches on the “equator” $H^{2,1}$ of the base manifold $H^{2,2}$. The differential of g yields

$$\begin{aligned} -j g^\dagger dg &= -\frac{1}{1 - (x^5)^2} \bar{\eta}_{mni} x^n dx^m \sigma^i, \\ -j dgg^\dagger &= \frac{1}{1 - (x^5)^2} \eta_{mni} x^n dx^m \sigma^i, \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

and the canonical connections (94) and (101) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} A_a dx^a &= j \frac{1}{2} (1 - x^5) dgg^\dagger, \\ A'_a dx^a &= -j \frac{1}{2} (1 + x^5) g^\dagger dg. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Then, we find the canonical connections are related as

$$A'_a dx^a = g^\dagger (A_a dx^a) g - j g^\dagger dg, \quad (106)$$

and the curvatures are

$$F'_{ab} = g^\dagger F_{ab} g. \quad (107)$$

Since the structure group is $SU(1, 1)$ and the non-trivial transition function is defined, the present canonical connection may naturally be interpreted as the $SU(1, 1)$ monopole gauge field.

4.3 Realization II

With use of the ordinary imaginary unit, the $(3 + 2)$ D gamma matrices γ^a are realized as

$$\gamma^i = \tau^i \otimes \sigma^2, \quad \gamma^4 = 1 \otimes \sigma^1, \quad \gamma^5 = \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^4 = 1 \otimes \sigma^3, \quad (108)$$

or

$$\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\tau^i \\ i\tau^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (109)$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$, and τ^i are the $SU(1, 1)$ generators (52). The $SO(3, 2)$ gamma matrices γ^a satisfy

$$(\gamma^a)^\dagger = -\gamma_a. \quad (110)$$

In detail, γ^1 and γ^2 are anti-hermitian while γ^3 , γ^4 and γ^5 are hermitian. Their anticommutation relations are given by

$$\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = -2\eta^{ab}, \quad (111)$$

with $\eta^{ab} = \eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(+, +, -, -, -)$, and their commutators yield the $SO(3, 2)$ generators⁵

$$\sigma^{ab} = -i\frac{1}{4}[\gamma^a, \gamma^b], \quad (112)$$

or more explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_k & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^{i4} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\tau^i & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^i \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma^{i5} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau^i \\ \tau^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^{45} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

The $SO(3, 2)$ generators σ^{ab} are non-hermitian

$$(\sigma^{ab})^\dagger = \sigma_{ab}. \quad (114)$$

The $SO(3, 2)$ charge conjugation matrix r is given by

$$r = -\gamma^2\gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (115)$$

where σ^1 is the $SO(2, 1)$ charge conjugation matrix. Indeed, the matrix r satisfies the relations

$$r^\dagger \gamma^a r = (\gamma^a)^*, \quad r^\dagger \sigma^{ab} r = -(\sigma^{ab})^*, \quad (116)$$

and has the properties

$$r^\dagger = r^t = r^{-1} = r. \quad (117)$$

Diagonalizing r , we obtain

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (118)$$

which satisfies

$$k^\dagger = k^t = k^{-1} = k. \quad (119)$$

Multiplying k to γ^a , hermitian matrices are constructed as

$$k^a = k\gamma^a, \quad (120)$$

⁵ The $SO(3, 2)$ algebra of σ_{ab} is $[\sigma_{ab}, \sigma_{cd}] = -i(\eta_{ac}\sigma_{bd} - \eta_{ad}\sigma_{bc} + \eta_{bd}\sigma_{ac} - \eta_{bc}\sigma_{ad})$.

or

$$\begin{aligned} k^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma^2 \\ -i\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma^1 \\ i\sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i1_2 \\ i1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ k^4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k^5 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Similarly, $k\sigma^{ab}$ are hermitian matrices.

A $SO(3, 2)$ Dirac spinor ψ (the 2nd non-compact Hopf spinor) subject to the “normalization condition”

$$\psi^\dagger k \psi = \psi_1^* \psi_1 - \psi_2^* \psi_2 + \psi_3^* \psi_3 - \psi_4^* \psi_4 = 1, \quad (122)$$

denotes coordinates on $H^{4,3}$. With such a $SO(3, 2)$ spinor, the 2nd non-compact Hopf map is realized as

$$\psi \rightarrow x^a = \psi^\dagger k^a \psi. \quad (123)$$

Since k^a are hermitian matrices, the components of the $SO(3, 2)$ vector x^a (123)

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= \psi_1^* \psi_4 - \psi_2^* \psi_3 + \psi_4^* \psi_1 - \psi_3^* \psi_2, \\ x^2 &= -i(\psi_1^* \psi_4 + \psi_2^* \psi_3 - \psi_4^* \psi_1 - \psi_3^* \psi_2), \\ x^3 &= -i(\psi_1^* \psi_3 + \psi_2^* \psi_4 - \psi_3^* \psi_1 - \psi_4^* \psi_2), \\ x^4 &= \psi_1^* \psi_3 - \psi_2^* \psi_4 + \psi_3^* \psi_1 - \psi_4^* \psi_2, \\ x^5 &= \psi_1^* \psi_1 - \psi_2^* \psi_2 - \psi_3^* \psi_3 + \psi_4^* \psi_4, \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

are real, and satisfy the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,b=1,2,3,4,5} \eta_{ab} x^a x^b &= (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2 - (x^4)^2 - (x^5)^2 \\ &= -(\psi^\dagger k \psi)^2 = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

Thus, x^a can be regarded as coordinates on $H^{2,2}$. Inverting the 2nd non-compact Hopf map (123) on the upper patch of the $H^{2,2}$ ($x^5 \geq 0$), the non-compact 2nd Hopf spinor is expressed as

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^5)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^5)\phi \\ (x^4 - ix^i \tau_i)\phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (126)$$

where $\phi = (u, v)^t$ represents the $H^{2,1}$ -fibre or the 1st non-compact Hopf spinor that satisfies the condition (60). The canonical connection is evaluated as

$$A = -i\psi^\dagger k d\psi = dx^a \phi^\dagger \sigma^3 A_a \phi \quad (127)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_m &= -\eta_{mni} \frac{x^n}{2(1+x^5)} \tau^i, \\ A_5 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

Here, the split-'t Hooft symbol is given by

$$\eta_{mni} = \epsilon_{mni4} + \eta_{mi}\eta_{n4} - \eta_{ni}\eta_{m4}. \quad (129)$$

It is straightforward to compute the curvature by utilizing the formula

$$F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a + i[A_a, A_b], \quad (130)$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{mn} &= x_m A_n - x_n A_m + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{mni} \tau^i, \\ F_{m5} &= -F_{5m} = (1 + x^5) A_m. \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

On the lower patch ($x^5 \leq 0$), the non-compact 2nd Hopf spinor can be taken as

$$\psi' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-x^5)}} \begin{pmatrix} (x^4 + ix^i \tau_i) \phi \\ (1-x^5) \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (132)$$

and the canonical connection is

$$\begin{aligned} A'_m &= -\bar{\eta}_{mni} \frac{x^n}{2(1-x^5)} \tau^i, \\ A'_5 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

with $\bar{\eta}_{mni} = \epsilon_{mni4} - \eta_{mi}\eta_{n4} + \eta_{ni}\eta_{m4}$. Correspondingly, the curvature becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{mn} &= x_m A'_n - x_n A'_m + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta}_{mni} \tau^i, \\ F'_{m5} &= -F'_{5m} = -(1-x^5) A'_m. \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

Two expressions (126) and (132) of the non-compact 2nd Hopf spinor are related by the $SU(1,1)$ transition function

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(x^5)^2}} (x^4 + ix^i \tau_i), \quad (135)$$

which satisfies $g^\dagger \sigma^3 g = \sigma^3$. The transition function g gives a map from $H^{2,1}$ to $SU(1,1)$, since the coordinates $\hat{x}^m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(x^5)^2}} x^m$ in g satisfy $\eta_{mn} \hat{x}^m \hat{x}^n = 1$.

The differential of g yields

$$\begin{aligned} -ig^\dagger \sigma^3 dg &= -\frac{1}{1-(x^5)^2} \bar{\eta}_{mni} \sigma^3 \tau^i x^n dx^m, \\ -idg \sigma^3 g^\dagger &= \frac{1}{1-(x^5)^2} \eta_{mni} \tau^i \sigma^3 x^n dx^m. \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

Then, the canonical connections (128) and (133) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} A_a dx^a &= i \frac{1}{2} (1-x^5) dg \sigma^3 g^\dagger \sigma^3, \\ A'_a dx^a &= -i \frac{1}{2} (1+x^5) \sigma^3 g^\dagger \sigma^3 dg, \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

	1	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
1	1	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
e_1	e_1	-1	e_3	$-e_2$	$-e_5$	e_4	$-e_7$	e_6
e_2	e_2	$-e_3$	-1	e_1	$-e_6$	e_7	e_4	$-e_5$
e_3	e_3	e_2	$-e_1$	-1	$-e_7$	$-e_6$	e_5	e_4
e_4	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7	1	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_5	e_5	$-e_4$	$-e_7$	e_6	$-e_1$	1	e_3	$-e_2$
e_6	e_6	e_7	$-e_4$	$-e_5$	$-e_2$	$-e_3$	1	e_1
e_7	e_7	$-e_6$	e_5	$-e_4$	$-e_3$	e_2	$-e_1$	1

Table 1: The structure constants of the split-octonions can be read from the table. For instance, at the crossing point of the column e_1 and the row e_3 we have $-e_2$: $e_1e_3 = -e_2$, which defines the corresponding structure constant as $f_{132} = -1$. Similarly, we have $f_{145} = f_{167} = f_{246} = f_{527} = f_{347} = f_{356} = -1$. Other structure constants can be derived from cyclic permutations of indices.

and related as

$$\sigma^3 A'_a dx^a = g^\dagger (\sigma^3 A_a dx^a) g - i g^\dagger \sigma^3 dg. \quad (138)$$

The curvatures are also

$$\sigma^3 F'_{ab} = g^\dagger (\sigma^3 F_{ab}) g. \quad (139)$$

5 3rd Non-compact Hopf Map

Here, we explore a realization of the 3rd non-compact Hopf map

$$H^{8,7} \xrightarrow{H^{4,3}} H^{4,4}. \quad (140)$$

In the constructions of the 1st and 2nd non-compact Hopf maps, the $SO(2,1)$ and $SO(3,2)$ *Dirac* spinors are utilized, while in the 3rd non-compact Hopf map, the $SO(5,4)$ *Majorana* spinor is utilized.

5.1 Split-Octonions

The split-octonions, $1, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_7$, are introduced so as to satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \{e_I, e_J\} &= -2\eta_{IJ}, \\ [e_I, e_J] &= 2f_{IJK}e_K, \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

where $\eta_{IJ} = \text{diag}(+1, +1, +1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$, and f_{IJK} ($I, J, K = 1, 2, \dots, 7$) denotes an antisymmetric tensor known as the structure constant of the split-octonions [See Table 1].

As in the case of octonions, the split-octonions do not respect associativity as well as commutativity. The complex conjugation of split-octonion is defined

as

$$1^* = 1, \quad (e_I)^* = -e_I. \quad (142)$$

Generally, with arbitrary real numbers $(r_0, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_7)$, a split-octonion is expressed as

$$o = r_0 1 + \sum_{I=1}^7 r_I e_I, \quad (143)$$

and its conjugation is

$$o^* = r_0 1 - \sum_{I=1}^7 r_I e_I. \quad (144)$$

Then, we find

$$o^* o = o o^* = r_0^2 + \sum_{I,J=1}^7 \eta_{IJ} r_I r_J = \sum_{I=0,1,2,3} (r_I)^2 - \sum_{I=4,5,6,7} (r_I)^2, \quad (145)$$

and the “normalized” split-octonion satisfying $o^* o = -1$ represents $H^{4,3}$. Similarly, the hyperbola $H_{\mathbb{O}'}^1$ in “2D” split-octonion space is given by

$$o^* o - o'^* o' = \sum_{I=0,1,2,3} (r_I)^2 - \sum_{I=4,5,6,7} (r_I)^2 - \sum_{I=0,1,2,3} (r'_I)^2 + \sum_{I=4,5,6,7} (r'_I)^2 = -1, \quad (146)$$

and $H_{\mathbb{O}'}^1$ is equivalent to $H^{8,7}$.

5.2 Realization I

The $SO(5, 4)$ gamma matrices Γ^A ($A = 1, 2, \dots, 9$) that satisfy

$$\{\Gamma^A, \Gamma^B\} = 2\eta^{AB}, \quad (147)$$

($\eta^{AB} = \eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, +, +, -, -, +, +)$) are introduced as

$$\Gamma^I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j\gamma^I \\ -j\gamma^I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_8 \\ 1_8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^9 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_8 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (148)$$

with $I = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. Here, Γ^A are hermitian matrices, and γ^I (off-diagonal block of Γ^I) are $SO(4, 3)$ gamma matrices satisfying $\{\gamma^I, \gamma^J\} = 2\eta^{IJ}$, with $\eta^{IJ} = \eta_{IJ} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, -1, -1, +1, +1)$. The $SO(4, 3)$ gamma matrices

γ^I are explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma^1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sigma^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma^3 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^3 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sigma^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^4 = j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma^5 &= j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma^7 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1_2 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{149}$$

where σ^i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the split-Pauli matrices (25). The product of the purely imaginary matrices, $\gamma^1, \gamma^4, \gamma^5$, yields a charge conjugation matrix of $SO(4, 3)$:

$$d = -j\gamma^1\gamma^4\gamma^5 = j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{150}$$

where b is the $SO(3, 2)$ charge conjugation matrix (81). Indeed, d satisfies the relations

$$-\gamma_I^* = d \cdot \gamma_I \cdot d^{-1}, \quad -\sigma_{IJ}^* = d \cdot \sigma_{IJ} \cdot d^{-1}, \tag{151}$$

with $SO(5, 4)$ generators $\sigma_{IJ} = -j\frac{1}{4}[\gamma_I, \gamma_J]$, and has the following properties

$$d^{-1} = d = d^t = d^*. \tag{152}$$

The consistency condition is satisfied as

$$d^*d = dd^* (= d^2) = 1_8. \tag{153}$$

The purely imaginary matrices of $SO(5, 4)$ are $\Gamma^2, \Gamma^3, \Gamma^6, \Gamma^7$, and their product yields the charge conjugation matrix of the $SO(5, 4)$ group,

$$B = \Gamma^2\Gamma^3\Gamma^6\Gamma^7 = - \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \tag{154}$$

which satisfies

$$\Gamma_A^* = B\Gamma_A B^{-1}, \quad -\Sigma_{AB}^* = B\Sigma_{AB} B^{-1}. \tag{155}$$

Here, Σ_{AB} are the $SO(5, 4)$ generators

$$\Sigma_{AB} = -j\frac{1}{4}[\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B]. \tag{156}$$

The properties of B are given by

$$B^{-1} = B = B^t = B^*, \quad (157)$$

and the consistency condition is satisfied

$$B^* B = B B^* (= B^2) = 1_{16}. \quad (158)$$

With (16-component) $SO(5, 4)$ spinor Ψ , the Majorana condition is imposed as

$$\Psi = -B^{-1}\Psi^*, \quad (159)$$

so the $SO(5, 4)$ Majorana spinor carries 16 real degrees of freedom. From (159), the $SO(5, 4)$ Majorana spinor can be expressed as

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ jU_c \\ V \\ jV_c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (160)$$

Here, $(U, jU_c)^t$ and $(V, jV_c)^t$ are $SO(4, 3)$ 8-component Majorana spinors. The “upper” components, U and V , denote $SO(3, 2)$ 4-component Dirac spinors, and “lower” components, U_c and V_c , are their charge conjugations given by Eq.(86). Then, in total, Ψ carries 16 real degrees of freedom that come from U and V .

With the above preparation, we realize the 3rd non-compact Hopf map as

$$\Psi \rightarrow x^A = \Psi^\dagger \Gamma^A \Psi. \quad (161)$$

Here, Ψ is a “normalized” $SO(5, 4)$ Majorana spinor (3rd non-compact Hopf spinor) satisfying

$$\Psi^\dagger \Psi = U^\dagger U - U_c^\dagger U_c + V^\dagger V - V_c^\dagger V_c = 1. \quad (162)$$

Since $U_c^\dagger U_c = -U^\dagger U$ and $V_c^\dagger V_c = -V^\dagger V$, (162) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^\dagger \Psi &= 2(U^\dagger U + V^\dagger V) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\mu=1}^4 (U_R^\mu U_R^\mu + V_R^\mu V_R^\mu - U_I^\mu U_I^\mu - V_I^\mu V_I^\mu) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (163)$$

Then, Ψ denotes coordinates on $H^{8,7}$. The components of x^A (161) are explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
x^1 &= -2(U_1 V_3 + U_2 V_4 - U_3 V_1 - U_4 V_2) + (c.c.), \\
x^2 &= 2j(-U_1 V_3 + U_2 V_4 + U_3 V_1 - U_4 V_2) + (c.c.), \\
x^3 &= 2j(U_1 V_4 + U_2 V_3 - U_2 V_2 - U_4 V_1) + (c.c.), \\
x^4 &= -2(U_1 V_4 - U_2 V_3 + U_3 V_2 - U_4 V_1) + (c.c.), \\
x^5 &= -2(U_1 V_2 - U_2 V_1 - U_3 V_4 + U_4 V_3) + (c.c.), \\
x^6 &= 2j(-U_1 V_2 + U_2 V_1 - U_3 V_4 + U_4 V_3) + (c.c.), \\
x^7 &= 2j(U_1^* V_1 + U_2^* V_2 + U_3^* V_3 + U_4^* V_4) + (c.c.), \\
x^8 &= 2(U_1^* V_1 + U_2^* V_2 + U_3^* V_3 + U_4^* V_4) + (c.c.), \\
x^9 &= 2(U_1^* U_1 + U_2^* U_2 + U_3^* U_3 + U_4^* U_4) - (U \rightarrow V),
\end{aligned} \tag{164}$$

and they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{A,B=1,2,\dots,9} \eta_{AB} x^A x^B \\
&= (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2 + (x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 - (x^6)^2 - (x^7)^2 + (x^8)^2 + (x^9)^2 \\
&= (\Psi^\dagger \Psi)^2 \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{165}$$

Thus, x^A represent coordinates on $H^{4,4}$.

Inverting (161) on the upper-patch of $H^{4,4}$ ($x^9 \geq 0$), the non-compact 3rd Hopf spinor is represented as

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^9)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^9)\Phi \\ (x^8 + jx_I \gamma^I)\Phi \end{pmatrix}, \tag{166}$$

where γ^I are the $SO(4,3)$ gamma matrices (149). From the Majorana condition of Ψ (159), the 8-component spinor Φ in (166) should satisfy

$$\Phi = d \cdot \Phi^*, \tag{167}$$

with d given by (150). Thus, Φ denotes a $SO(4,3)$ Majorana spinor that possesses 8 real degrees of freedom, and Φ can be represented as

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ j\psi_c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{168}$$

where ψ is a $SO(3,2)$ Dirac spinor and ψ_c is its charge conjugation (86). Moreover, from (163), Φ or ψ should satisfy the “normalization condition”

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^\dagger \Phi &= \frac{1}{2}(\psi^\dagger \psi - \psi_c^\dagger \psi_c) \\
&= \psi^\dagger \psi = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \psi_R \psi_R - \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \psi_I \psi_I \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{169}$$

Hence, ψ denotes the 2nd non-compact Hopf spinor, and, at the same time, does the fibre of the 3rd non-compact Hopf map. Thus, we have confirmed the hierarchical structure of the Hopf maps, and the non-compact 3rd Hopf spinor can finally be expressed as

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(1+x^9)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^9) \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ j\psi_c \end{pmatrix} \\ (x^8 + jx_I\gamma^I) \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ j\psi_c \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (170)$$

One might anticipate that the canonical connection of $H^{4,3}$ -fibre would be induced by the formula

$$A = -j\Psi^\dagger d\Psi. \quad (171)$$

Indeed, expressing A as

$$A = dx^A \Phi^\dagger A_A \Phi, \quad (172)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_M &= -\frac{1}{1+x^9} \sigma_{MN} x^N, \\ A_9 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (173)$$

Here, $M, N = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, and σ_{MN} are $SO(4, 4)$ ‘‘Weyl +’’ generators given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{IJ} &= -j\frac{1}{4}[\gamma_I, \gamma_J], \\ \sigma_{I8} &= -\sigma_{8I} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_I. \end{aligned} \quad (174)$$

However, due to the special properties of the Majorana spinor, the canonical connection (172) vanishes, since antisymmetric matrix $d \cdot \sigma_{AB}$ yields $\Phi^\dagger \sigma_{AB} \Phi = \Phi^t (d \cdot \sigma_{AB}) \Phi = 0$. To derive the canonical connection of $H^{4,3}$ -fibre, we may use

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^9)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^9)1_8 \\ (x^8 1_8 + jx_I\gamma^I) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (175)$$

and the canonical connection (173) can be derived by the formula

$$A = dx^A A_A = -j\tilde{\Psi}^\dagger d\tilde{\Psi}. \quad (176)$$

The corresponding $SO(4, 4)$ curvature

$$F_{AB} = \partial_A A_B - \partial_B A_A - j[A_A, A_B] \quad (177)$$

is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{MN} &= -x_M A_N + x_N A_M + \sigma_{MN}, \\ F_{M9} &= -F_{9M} = -(1+x^9)A_M. \end{aligned} \quad (178)$$

On the lower patch ($x^9 \leq 0$), the 3rd non-compact Hopf spinor is given by

$$\tilde{\Psi}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-x^9)}} \begin{pmatrix} (x^8 1_8 - j x_I \gamma^I) \\ (1-x^9) 1_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (179)$$

and the canonical connection is derived as

$$\begin{aligned} A'_M &= -\frac{1}{1-x^9} \bar{\sigma}_{MN} x^N, \\ A'_9 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (180)$$

Here, $M, N = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, and $\bar{\sigma}_{MN}$ are $SO(4, 4)$ “Weyl $-$ ” generators given by $\bar{\sigma}_{IJ} = \sigma_{IJ}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{I8} = -\sigma_{I8}$. Correspondingly, the curvature becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{MN} &= -x_M A'_N + x_N A'_M + \bar{\sigma}_{MN}, \\ F'_{M9} &= -F_{9M} = (1-x^9) A'_M. \end{aligned} \quad (181)$$

Two different expressions (175) and (179) of the non-compact 3rd Hopf spinor are related by the transformation

$$\tilde{\Psi}' = \tilde{\Psi} \cdot g, \quad (182)$$

where g is the transition function

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(x^9)^2}} (x^8 1_8 - j x_I \gamma^I), \quad (183)$$

which satisfies $g^\dagger g = 1$. The coordinates $\hat{x}^M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(x^9)^2}} x^M$ in g satisfy the condition of $H^{4,3}$: $\eta_{MN} \hat{x}^M \hat{x}^N = 1$. Thus, g represents a map from a point on $H^{4,3}$ to a group element of $SO(4, 4)$. The transition function specifies the “gluing” the $H^{4,3}$ -fibres on upper and lower patches. The differential of g yields

$$\begin{aligned} -j g^\dagger dg &= -\frac{2}{1-(x^9)^2} \bar{\sigma}_{MN} x^N dx^M, \\ -j dg g^\dagger &= \frac{2}{1-(x^9)^2} \sigma_{MN} x^N dx^M. \end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

The connections (173) and (180) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} A_A dx^A &= j \frac{1}{2} (1-x^9) dg g^\dagger, \\ A'_A dx^A &= -j \frac{1}{2} (1+x^9) g^\dagger dg, \end{aligned} \quad (185)$$

and related as

$$A'_A dx^A = g^\dagger (A_A dx^A) g - j g^\dagger dg. \quad (186)$$

Their curvatures are

$$F'_{AB} = g^\dagger F_{AB} g. \quad (187)$$

Since the structure group is $SO(4, 4)$, the canonical connection may be interpreted as the $SO(4, 4)$ monopole gauge field.

5.3 Realization II

As a preliminary, we first construct Majorana representation of the gamma matrices of $SO(5, 4)$ group based on the split-octonion structure constants. With $e_0 = 1$, the split-octonion algebras (141) are expressed as

$$e_I e_J = -\eta_{IJ} e_0 + f_{IJK} e_K, \quad (188)$$

or

$$e_A e_B = f_{ABC} e_C, \quad (189)$$

where $A, B, C = 0, 1, \dots, 7$. (The split-octonion structure constants f_{ABC} can be read from Table 1.) With use of f_{ABC} , the $SO(4, 3)$ gamma matrices λ^I ($I = 1, 2, \dots, 7$) are constructed as

$$(\lambda^I)_{AB} = -f_{IAB}, \quad (190)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^1 &= i \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^3 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^3 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda^4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda^5 &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda^6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda^7 &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma^2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (191)$$

One may check that λ^I indeed satisfy

$$\{\lambda^I, \lambda^J\} = -2\eta^{IJ} \quad (192)$$

with $\eta^{IJ} = \eta_{IJ} = \text{diag}(+1, +1, +1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$. The matrices λ^I are real matrices that satisfy the relation

$$(\lambda^I)^t = -\lambda_I. \quad (193)$$

In detail, $\lambda_{1,2,3}$ are real antisymmetric matrices, and $\lambda_{4,5,6,7}$ are real symmetric matrices. With $\lambda^0 \equiv 1_8$, λ^0 and λ^I ($I = 1, 2, \dots, 7$) represent the $SO(4, 4)$ ‘‘Weyl +’’ gamma matrices.

With λ^I , the $SO(5, 4)$ gamma matrices Γ^A are constructed as

$$\Gamma^I = i\lambda^{8-I} \otimes \sigma^2, \quad \Gamma^8 = 1_8 \otimes \sigma^1, \quad \Gamma^9 = 1_8 \otimes \sigma^3, \quad (194)$$

or

$$\Gamma^I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{8-I} \\ -\lambda^{8-I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_8 \\ 1_8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^9 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_8 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_8 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (195)$$

Thus, the split-octonion structure constants appear in the off-diagonal elements of Γ^A . The matrices Γ^A satisfy

$$\{\Gamma^A, \Gamma^B\} = 2\eta^{AB}, \quad (196)$$

with $\eta^{AB} = \eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, -1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1)$. They are non-hermitian:

$$(\Gamma^A)^t = \Gamma_A. \quad (197)$$

In detail, $\Gamma^{1,2,3,4}$ are antisymmetric real matrices, and $\Gamma^{5,6,7,8,9}$ are symmetric real matrices. The $SO(5, 4)$ generators are constructed as

$$\Sigma_{AB} = -i\frac{1}{4}[\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B], \quad (198)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{IJ} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{IJ} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{IJ} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_{I8} = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{8-I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda_{8-I} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Sigma_{I9} &= \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{8-I} \\ \lambda_{8-I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_{89} = -i\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1_8 \\ 1_8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (199)$$

where σ_{IJ} are the $SO(3, 4)$ generators given by

$$\sigma_{IJ} = i\frac{1}{4}[\lambda_{8-I}, \lambda_{8-J}]. \quad (200)$$

Since Γ^A are real matrices, the corresponding $SO(5, 4)$ generators (198) are purely imaginary and satisfy the relation; $\Sigma_{AB}^* = -\Sigma_{AB}$. Thus, the present representation is indeed the Majorana representation, in which the charge conjugation matrix is given by unit matrix.

Although not all of Γ^A are hermitian, we can construct symmetric real matrices as

$$K^A = K\Gamma^A, \quad (201)$$

where

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (202)$$

with

$$\Sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (203)$$

The symmetric real matrices K^A are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} K^I &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma^3 \lambda^{8-I} \\ -\Sigma^3 \lambda^{8-I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma^3 \\ \Sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ K^9 &= \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (204)$$

where $\Sigma^3 \lambda^I$ are the following real antisymmetric matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^3 \lambda^1 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma^3 \lambda^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^3 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma^3 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Sigma^3 \lambda^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma^1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma^3 \lambda^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Sigma^3 \lambda^5 &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma^3 \lambda^6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Sigma^3 \lambda^7 &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (205)$$

In the Majorana representation, the $SO(5, 4)$ Majorana spinor is simply given by the (16-component) real spinor

$$\Psi^* = \Psi. \quad (206)$$

We now realize the 3rd non-compact Hopf map as

$$\Psi \rightarrow x^A = \Psi^t K^A \Psi, \quad (207)$$

where Ψ is a “normalized” $SO(5, 4)$ Majorana spinor (the non-compact 3rd Hopf spinor) satisfying

$$\Psi^t K \Psi = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \Psi_\mu^2 + \sum_{\mu=9}^{12} \Psi_\mu^2 - \sum_{\mu=5}^8 \Psi_\mu^2 - \sum_{\mu=13}^{16} \Psi_\mu^2 = 1, \quad (208)$$

which represents $H^{8,7}$. With two $SO(4, 4)$ Majorana-Weyl spinors, Ψ^+ and Ψ^- (each of which represents 8-component real spinor), the $SO(5, 4)$ Majorana spinor Ψ can be expressed as

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^+ \\ \Psi^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (209)$$

and the components of x^A (207) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x^I &= 2(\Psi^+)^t \Sigma^3 \lambda^{8-I} \Psi^-, \\ x^8 &= 2(\Psi^+)^t \Sigma^3 \Psi^-, \\ x^9 &= (\Psi^+)^t \Sigma^3 \Psi^+ - (\Psi^-)^t \Sigma^3 \Psi^-, \end{aligned} \quad (210)$$

or more explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= \Psi_1^+ \Psi_8^- - \Psi_2^+ \Psi_7^- + \Psi_3^+ \Psi_6^- - \Psi_4^+ \Psi_5^- - (\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow \Psi^-), \\ x^2 &= \Psi_1^+ \Psi_7^- + \Psi_2^+ \Psi_8^- - \Psi_3^+ \Psi_5^- - \Psi_4^+ \Psi_6^- - (\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow \Psi^-), \\ x^3 &= \Psi_1^+ \Psi_6^- - \Psi_2^+ \Psi_5^- - \Psi_3^+ \Psi_8^- + \Psi_4^+ \Psi_7^- - (\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow \Psi^-), \\ x^4 &= \Psi_1^+ \Psi_5^- + \Psi_2^+ \Psi_6^- + \Psi_3^+ \Psi_7^- + \Psi_4^+ \Psi_8^- - (\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow \Psi^-), \\ x^5 &= -\Psi_1^+ \Psi_4^- - \Psi_2^+ \Psi_3^- - \Psi_5^+ \Psi_8^- - \Psi_6^+ \Psi_7^- - (\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow \Psi^-), \\ x^6 &= -\Psi_1^+ \Psi_3^- + \Psi_2^+ \Psi_4^- - \Psi_5^+ \Psi_7^- + \Psi_6^+ \Psi_8^- - (\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow \Psi^-), \\ x^7 &= -\Psi_1^+ \Psi_2^- - \Psi_3^+ \Psi_4^- - \Psi_5^+ \Psi_6^- - \Psi_7^+ \Psi_8^- - (\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow \Psi^-), \\ x^8 &= \Psi_1^+ \Psi_1^- + \Psi_2^+ \Psi_2^- + \Psi_3^+ \Psi_3^- + \Psi_4^+ \Psi_4^- \\ &\quad - \Psi_5^+ \Psi_5^- - \Psi_6^+ \Psi_6^- - \Psi_7^+ \Psi_7^- - \Psi_8^+ \Psi_8^-, \\ x^9 &= (\Psi_1^{+2} + \Psi_2^{+2} + \Psi_3^{+2} + \Psi_4^{+2}) - (\Psi_5^{+2} + \Psi_6^{+2} + \Psi_7^{+2} + \Psi_8^{+2}) \\ &\quad - (\Psi_1^{-2} + \Psi_2^{-2} + \Psi_3^{-2} + \Psi_4^{-2}) + (\Psi_5^{-2} + \Psi_6^{-2} + \Psi_7^{-2} + \Psi_8^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (211)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that x^A satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{A,B=1,2,\dots,9} \eta_{AB} x^A x^B \\ &= -(x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2 - (x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 + (x^6)^2 + (x^7)^2 + (x^8)^2 + (x^9)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^4 (\Psi_\mu^+)^2 - \sum_{\mu=5}^8 (\Psi_\mu^+)^2 + \sum_{\mu=1}^4 (\Psi_\mu^-)^2 - \sum_{\mu=5}^8 (\Psi_\mu^-)^2 \right) \\ &= (\Psi^t K \Psi)^2 \\ &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (212)$$

which suggests that x^A are regarded as coordinates on $H^{4,4}$. Inverting (207) on the upper patch of $H^{4,4}$ ($x^9 \geq 0$), Ψ can be represented as

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^9)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^9)\Phi \\ (x^8 - \lambda_{8-I} x^I)\Phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (213)$$

where Φ is the $SO(4,3)$ real 8-component spinor subject to the constraint

$$\Phi^t \Sigma^3 \Phi = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 (\Phi_\mu)^2 - \sum_{\mu=5}^8 (\Phi_\mu)^2 = 1, \quad (214)$$

and then, Φ denotes $H^{4,3}$ -fibre. As discussed below Eq.(174), naively anticipated canonical connection $A = -i\Psi^t K d\Psi$ vanishes due to the Majorana property of Ψ . Hence, defining

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^9)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^9)1_8 \\ x^8 1_8 - \lambda_{8-I} x^I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (215)$$

we evaluate the canonical connection of $H^{4,3}$ -fibre

$$A = -i\tilde{\Psi}^t K d\tilde{\Psi} = dx^A \Sigma^3 A_A, \quad (216)$$

to derive

$$\begin{aligned} A_M &= \frac{1}{1+x^9} \sigma_{MN} x^N, \\ A_9 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (217)$$

Here, σ_{MN} are $SO(4,4)$ ‘‘Weyl +’’ generators given by

$$\sigma_{IJ} = -i\frac{1}{4}[\lambda_I, \lambda_J], \quad \sigma_{I8} = -\sigma_{8I} = i\frac{1}{2}\lambda_I. \quad (218)$$

($\Sigma^3 \sigma_{IJ}$ and $\Sigma^3 \sigma_{I8}$ are pure imaginary antisymmetric matrices.) Similarly, the corresponding curvature

$$F_{AB} = \partial_A A_B - \partial_B A_A - i[A_A, A_B] \quad (219)$$

is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{MN} &= -x_M A_N + x_N A_M - \sigma_{MN}, \\ F_{M9} &= -F_{9M} = (1+x^9)A_M. \end{aligned} \quad (220)$$

In the lower patch ($x^9 \leq 0$), the 3rd non-compact Hopf spinor is given by

$$\tilde{\Psi}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-x^9)}} \begin{pmatrix} x^8 1_8 + \lambda_{8-I} x^I \\ (1-x^9)1_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (221)$$

and the canonical connection is derived as

$$\begin{aligned} A'_M &= \frac{1}{1-x^9} \bar{\sigma}_{MN} x^N, \\ A'_9 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (222)$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_{IJ} = \sigma_{IJ}$, and $\bar{\sigma}_{I8} = -\sigma_{I8}$. Correspondingly, the curvature becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{MN} &= -x_M A'_N + x_N A'_M - \bar{\sigma}_{MN}, \\ F'_{M9} &= -F'_{9M} = -(1-x^9)A'_M. \end{aligned} \quad (223)$$

The transition function that relates two different expressions (215) and (221) of the 3rd non-compact spinor is given by

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (x^9)^2}} (x^8 1_8 + \lambda_{8-I} x^I), \quad (224)$$

which satisfies $g^\dagger \Sigma^3 g = \Sigma^3$. The transition function g is a function from $H^{4,3}$ to $SO(4, 4)$, and its differential yields

$$\begin{aligned} -ig^\dagger \Sigma^3 dg &= \frac{2}{1 - (x^9)^2} \Sigma^3 \bar{\sigma}_{MN} x^N dx^M, \\ -idg \Sigma^3 g^\dagger &= -\frac{2}{1 - (x^9)^2} \sigma_{MN} \Sigma^3 x^N dx^M. \end{aligned} \quad (225)$$

Then, the canonical connections (217) and (222) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} A_A dx^A &= i \frac{1 - x^9}{2} dg \Sigma^3 g^\dagger \Sigma^3, \\ A'_A dx^A &= -i \frac{1 + x^9}{2} \Sigma^3 g^\dagger \Sigma^3 dg, \end{aligned} \quad (226)$$

and they are related as

$$\Sigma^3 A'_A dx^A = g^\dagger (\Sigma^3 A_A dx^A) g - ig^\dagger \Sigma^3 dg. \quad (227)$$

Their curvatures are also

$$\Sigma^3 F'_{AB} = g^\dagger (\Sigma^3 F_{AB}) g. \quad (228)$$

6 Summary and Discussion

Based on the split-algebras, we developed the non-compact version of the Hopf maps. Simply replacing the ordinary imaginary unit with the split-imaginary unit, the ultra-hyperboloids with split-signatures were naturally introduced, and the non-compact Hopf maps were straightforwardly constructed. With the explicit realization of the non-compact Hopf maps, the topological structures of the associated non-compact fibres were explored.

As briefly mentioned in Introduction, the original Hopf fibrations correspond to the fibre configurations of the $U(1)$, $SU(2)$ and $SO(8)$ monopoles with minimum charge. The stability of such monopoles with arbitrary charges are topologically accounted by the homotopy theorems

$$\pi_1(U(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}, \quad \pi_3(SU(2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}, \quad \pi_7(SO(8)) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}. \quad (229)$$

Transition function plays a crucial role in specifying non-trivial realization of topology of fibre-bundle. For instance, in the $SU(2)$ monopole case, the transition function represents a map from the S^3 -equator of base manifold S^4 to

	Division algebras	Split algebras
Real numbers	$SO(2, 1) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{R})$	$SO(2, 1) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
Complex numbers	$SO(3, 1) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{C})$	$SO(2, 2) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{C}')$
Quaternions	$SO(5, 1) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{H})$	$SO(3, 3) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{H}')$
Octonions	$SO(9, 1) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{O})$	$SO(5, 5) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{O}')$

Table 2: Lorentz groups and split-Lorentz groups

the $SU(2)$ structure group. Then, the homotopy theorem that accounts for the topological stability of the $SU(2)$ monopole becomes $\pi_3(SU(2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Meanwhile, in the present, each of the three non-compact Hopf maps is expected to correspond to the fibre configuration of $SO(1, 1)$, $SU(1, 1)$, and $SO(4, 4)$ monopoles with minimum charge. For instance, in the case of the 2nd non-compact Hopf map, the transition function represents a map from the $H^{2,1}$ -“equator” to the structure group $SU(1, 1)$. The group manifold of $SU(1, 1)$ is topologically equivalent to $H^{2,1}$, so the transition function represents a map between identical spaces. However, $H^{2,1}$ is non-compact, and the winding between the non-compact spaces has to be concerned. The homotopy theorems between non-compact spaces are required to guarantee topological stability of non-compact monopoles. The homotopy theorem for non-compact monopoles has to be exploited in a future research.

As also mentioned in Introduction, there are wide applications of the original Hopf maps to physics. In particular, there are intriguing relations reported between the division algebras and the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and Green-Schwarz superstring formalism in special dimensions [16, 17]. In the language of group theory, the 1st Hopf map is related to the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group which is the (3+1)D Lorentz group, and the octonion group related to the 3rd Hopf map corresponds to the Lorentz group in (9 + 1)D [18, 19]: the critical dimension of the superstring theory. In the case of the non-compact Hopf versions, one may infer similar correspondences (see Table 2), and the split-algebras naturally bring the notion of space-time with split-signatures (split-space-time) [20, 21]. It is stimulating, and may be worthwhile, to speculate applications of the split-algebras to physics. Indeed, recently, analogies of quantum Hall effect and the twistor theory were explored based on the 2nd non-compact Hopf map [22]. Although direct relations to the split-algebras have not been discussed yet, split-space-time groups play a crucial role in the “doubled geometry” formulation of string theory [23]. Since split-space-times generally have more than one-time dimension, one may be anxious about the negative-norm problem. However, at the same time, it may also be probable that dualities between space-times with different signature metrics secure the theories from the fatal negative norm problems [24, 25]. Apparently, this also needs more investigations.

Several other generalizations of the Hopf maps have also been reported. For instance, the supersymmetric version of the 1st Hopf map was explored in Refs.[26, 27, 28]. (We have given the non-compact supersymmetric Hopf map

[29] in Appendix.) Although yet to be found, it might even exist supersymmetric versions of the 2nd and 3rd Hopf maps ⁶. The θ -deformed 2nd Hopf map has also been explored in Ref.[31]. Such generalization is also applicable to the present non-compact Hopf maps.

Finally, we comment on a relation to the split-instanton configuration introduced by Mason [32]. The split-instanton is a solution of the anti-self-dual equation of Yang-Mills fields on $R^{2,2}$. The $SU(1,1)$ monopole is related to such split-instanton by conformal transformation between $H^{2,2}$ and $R^{2,2}$. This is hyperbolic analogue of the conformal relation between the $SU(2)$ monopole field configuration on S^4 and the $SU(2)$ instanton configuration on R^4 .

Note Added

After completion of this work, the author learned the work of Blažić [33] where coset construction of para-quaternionic projective spaces was discussed. The present construction of the non-compact 2nd Hopf map is consistent with the work.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor Mikio Nakahara for useful conversations. This work was partially supported by Sumitomo foundation.

Appendix

A Non-compact Supersymmetric Hopf Map

A supersymmetric generalization of the (1st) Hopf map is introduced by replacing the original bosonic manifolds with their supersymmetric counterparts [26, 27, 28]:

$$S^{3|2} \xrightarrow{S^1} S^{2|2}. \quad (230)$$

The bosonic body of the base-manifold $S^{2|2}$ is S^2 , and the isometry group of $S^{2|2}$ is $UOSp(1|2)$ with bosonic subgroup $SU(2)$.

Meanwhile, the non-compact version of the supersymmetric Hopf map is given by

$$H^{2,1|2} \xrightarrow{H^{1,0}} H^{1,1|2}, \quad (231)$$

or

$$AdS^{3|2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}(1)} AdS^{2|2}. \quad (232)$$

Here, the body of the base-manifold $H^{1,1|2}$ is $H^{1,1}$, and the isometry group of $AdS^{2|2}$ is $OSp(1|2)$ with bosonic subgroup $SU(1,1)$.

⁶An attempt to this direction, one may see Ref.[30].

A.1 Realization I

The $OSp(1|2)$ algebra is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [l^i, l^j] &= j\epsilon^{ijk}l_k, \\ [l^i, l^\alpha] &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^i)_\beta{}^\alpha l^\beta, \\ \{l^\alpha, l^\beta\} &= \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon\sigma^i)^{\alpha\beta}l_i, \end{aligned} \quad (233)$$

where $\epsilon^{123} = 1$, and $\eta_{ij} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1)$. With the split-Pauli matrices σ^i , and $\tau^1 = (1, 0)^t$, $\tau^2 = (0, 1)^t$, the $OSp(1|2)$ generators are represented as

$$l^i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau^\alpha \\ -(\epsilon\tau^\alpha)^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (234)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} l^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l^2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -j & 0 \\ j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l^3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ l^{\theta_1} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l^{\theta_2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (235)$$

The $OSp(1|2)$ spinor takes the form of

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (236)$$

where the first two components u and v are Grassmann even, while the last component η is Grassmann odd.

With l^i and l^α , the non-compact supersymmetric Hopf map (231) is realized as

$$\chi \rightarrow x^i = 2\chi^\dagger l^i \chi, \quad \theta^\alpha = 2\chi^\dagger l^\alpha \chi. \quad (237)$$

Here, χ is a $OSp(1|2)$ spinor subject to the “normalization condition”

$$\chi^\dagger \chi = u^* u + v^* v - \eta^* \eta = (u_R)^2 + (v_R)^2 - (u_I)^2 - (v_I)^2 - \eta^* \eta = 1, \quad (238)$$

where the superadjoint $\dagger$ is defined as ⁷

$$\chi^\dagger = (u^*, v^*, -\eta^*). \quad (239)$$

The components of x^i and θ^α (237) are explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= u^* v + v^* u, \quad x^2 = -j u^* v + j v^* u, \quad x^3 = u^* u - v^* v, \\ \theta^1 &= u^* \eta - \eta^* v, \quad \theta^2 = v^* \eta + \eta^* u, \end{aligned} \quad (240)$$

⁷The symbol $*$ represents the pseudo-conjugation, $(\eta^*)^* = -\eta$, $(\eta_1 \eta_2)^* = \eta_1^* \eta_2^*$ for the Grassmann odd quantities η_1 and η_2 . In particular, $(\theta^1)^* = \theta^2$, $(\theta^2)^* = -\theta^1$.

and satisfy

$$\eta_{ij}x^i x^j + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\alpha\theta^\beta = 1. \quad (241)$$

Thus, x^i and θ^α denote coordinates on $H^{1,1|2}$. On the upper patch of $H^{1,1|2}$ ($x^3 \geq 0$), inverting the map (237), χ can be expressed as

$$\chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^3)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^3)(1 - \frac{1}{4(1+x^3)}\theta\epsilon\theta) \\ (x^1 - jx^2)(1 + \frac{1}{4(1+x^3)}\theta\epsilon\theta) \\ (1+x^3)\theta^1 + (x^1 - jx^2)\theta^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (242)$$

The canonical connection of $\mathcal{U}(1)$ -fibre is induced as

$$A = -j\chi^\dagger d\chi = dx^i A_i + d\theta^\alpha A_\alpha, \quad (243)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \frac{1}{2(1+x^3)} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j \left(1 + \frac{2+x^3}{2(1+x^3)} \theta\epsilon\theta \right), \\ A_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} j(x_i \sigma^i \epsilon \theta)_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (244)$$

The curvature is given by the formula

$$F = dA = \frac{1}{2} dx^i \wedge dx^j F_{ij} + dx^i \wedge d\theta^\alpha F_{i\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} d\theta^\alpha \wedge d\theta^\beta F_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (245)$$

where

$$F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i, \quad F_{i\alpha} = \partial_i A_\alpha - \partial_\alpha A_i, \quad F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha. \quad (246)$$

They are evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \theta\epsilon\theta \right), \\ F_{i\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} j(\sigma^j \epsilon \theta)_\alpha (\eta_{ij} - 3x_i x_j), \\ F_{\alpha\beta} &= jx^i (\sigma_i \epsilon)_{\alpha\beta} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \theta\epsilon\theta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (247)$$

On the lower patch ($x^3 \leq 0$), the “normalized” $OSp(1|2)$ Hopf spinor can similarly be expressed as

$$\chi' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-x^3)}} \begin{pmatrix} (x^1 + jx^2)(1 + \frac{1}{4(1-x^3)}\theta\epsilon\theta) \\ (1-x^3)(1 - \frac{1}{4(1-x^3)}\theta\epsilon\theta) \\ (x^1 + jx^2)\theta^1 + (1-x^3)\theta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (248)$$

and the corresponding canonical connection is derived as

$$\begin{aligned} A'_i &= -\frac{1}{2(1-x^3)} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j \left(1 + \frac{2-x^3}{2(1-x^3)} \theta\epsilon\theta \right), \\ A'_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} j(x_i \sigma^i \epsilon \theta)_\alpha = A_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (249)$$

Two expressions (242) and (248) are related by the transformation

$$\chi' = \chi g, \quad (250)$$

where g is the transition function of the form

$$g = \frac{x^1 + jx^2}{\sqrt{1 - (x^3)^2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2(1 - (x^3)^2)} \theta \epsilon \theta \right), \quad (251)$$

which satisfies $g^* g = 1$ and represents a map from $H^{1,0}$ to $\mathcal{U}(1)$. The differential of g yields

$$-jg^* dg = -j dgg^* = -\frac{1}{1 - (x^3)^2} \epsilon_{ij3} x^j \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 - (x^3)^2} \theta \epsilon \theta \right) dx^i, \quad (252)$$

and the canonical connections (244) and (249) are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -j \left(-\frac{1 - x^3}{2} + \frac{x^3}{4} \theta \epsilon \theta \right) g^* dg + d\theta^\alpha A_\alpha, \\ A' &= -j \left(\frac{1 + x^3}{2} + \frac{x^3}{4} \theta \epsilon \theta \right) g^* dg + d\theta^\alpha A_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (253)$$

and related

$$A' = A - jg^* dg. \quad (254)$$

Similarly, their curvatures are

$$F' = F. \quad (255)$$

The present canonical connection may be interpreted as the (non-compact) gauge field of supermonopole.

A.2 Realization II

As in the original bosonic case, in Realization II, the $\mathcal{U}(1)$ structure group is replaced by the ordinary $U(1)$ group, and the non-compact supersymmetric Hopf map (231) is modified as [29]

$$H^{2,1|2} \xrightarrow{H^{0,1}} H^{2,0|2}, \quad (256)$$

or

$$AdS^{3|2} \xrightarrow{U(1)} \text{Eucl.} AdS^{2|2}. \quad (257)$$

The $OSp(1|2)$ algebra is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [l^i, l^j] &= i\epsilon^{ij}{}_k l^k, \\ [l^i, l^\alpha] &= \frac{1}{2} (\tau^i)_\beta{}^\alpha l^\beta, \\ \{l^\alpha, l^\beta\} &= \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon^t \tau_i)^{\alpha\beta} l^i, \end{aligned} \quad (258)$$

where τ^i are the $SU(1,1)$ generators (52), and $\eta_{ij} = \text{diag}(+1, +1, -1)$. The $OSp(1|2)$ generators are

$$l^i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \tau^i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau^\alpha \\ -(\epsilon\tau^\alpha)^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (259)$$

The complex representation is given by $\tilde{l}^i = -(l^i)^*$ and $\tilde{l}^\alpha = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} l^\beta$, and they are related to the original representation as

$$\tilde{l}^i = \mathcal{R}^\dagger l^i \mathcal{R}, \quad \tilde{l}^\alpha = \mathcal{R}^\dagger l^\alpha \mathcal{R}. \quad (260)$$

Here, $\mathcal{R}$ is the charge conjugation matrix

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (261)$$

with following properties:

$$\mathcal{R}^\dagger = \mathcal{R}^t = \mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathcal{R}. \quad (262)$$

The matrix $\mathcal{R}$ is diagonalized to yield

$$-\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (263)$$

and the “hermitian” matrices that satisfy

$$\kappa^{i\dagger} = \kappa^i, \quad \kappa^{\alpha\dagger} = (\sigma^1)_\beta{}^\alpha \kappa^\beta, \quad (264)$$

are constructed by multiplying κ to l^i and l^α :

$$\kappa^i = 2\kappa l^i, \quad \kappa^\alpha = 2\kappa l^\alpha, \quad (265)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^1 &= -\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \kappa^{\theta_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau^{\theta_1} \\ -(\tau^{\theta_2})^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa^{\theta_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\tau^{\theta_2} \\ (\tau^{\theta_1})^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (266)$$

With the above preparation, we can realize the supersymmetric non-compact Hopf map (256) as

$$\chi \rightarrow x^i = \chi^\dagger \kappa^i \chi, \quad \theta^\alpha = \chi^\dagger \kappa^\alpha \chi, \quad (267)$$

with $\chi = (u, v, \eta)^t$ satisfying the “normalization condition”⁸

$$\chi^\dagger \kappa \chi = u^* u - v^* v - \eta^* \eta = 1. \quad (268)$$

⁸ Here, the symbols $\dagger$ and $*$ respectively represent the conventional hermitian conjugation and complex conjugation; $\chi^\dagger = (u^*, v^*, \eta^*)$, and $(\eta^*)^* = \eta$, $(\eta_1 \eta_2)^* = \eta_2^* \eta_1^*$. By the “hermiticity” of κ^i and κ^α (264), x^i and θ^α are “real” in the sense: $x^{i*} = x^i$ and $\theta^{\alpha*} = (\sigma^1)_\beta{}^\alpha \theta^\beta$. Thus, $\theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)^t$ is a $SO(2,1)$ Majorana-spinor.

The components of x^i and θ^α (267) are explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= iu^*v - iv^*u, & x^2 &= u^*v + v^*u, & x^3 &= u^*u + v^*v \\ \theta^1 &= u^*\eta - \eta^*v, & \theta^2 &= -v^*\eta + \eta^*u, \end{aligned} \quad (269)$$

and denote coordinates on Euclidean $AdS^{2|2}$, since

$$\eta_{ij}x^ix^j - \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\alpha\theta^\beta = -(\chi^\dagger\kappa\chi)^2 = -1. \quad (270)$$

Inverting (267) on the upper leaf of the $H^{2,0|2}$ ($x^3 \geq 1$), χ is represented as

$$\chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x^3)}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+x^3)(1 - \frac{1}{4(1+x^3)}\theta\epsilon\theta) \\ (x^2 - ix^1)(1 + \frac{1}{4(1+x^3)}\theta\epsilon\theta) \\ (1+x^3)\theta^1 + (x^2 - ix^1)\theta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (271)$$

and the canonical connection of $U(1)$ -fibre is

$$A = -i\chi^\dagger\kappa d\chi = dx^i A_i + d\theta^\alpha A_\alpha, \quad (272)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij3}\frac{x^j}{1+x^3} \left(1 + \frac{2+x^3}{2(1+x^3)}\theta\epsilon\theta \right), \\ A_\alpha &= -i\frac{1}{2}x^i(\theta\tau_i\epsilon)_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (273)$$

The corresponding curvature

$$F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i, \quad F_{i\alpha} = \partial_i A_\alpha - \partial_\alpha A_i, \quad F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha \quad (274)$$

is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}x^k \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}\theta\epsilon\theta \right), \\ F_{i\alpha} &= -i\frac{1}{2}(\theta\tau^j\epsilon)_\alpha(\eta_{ij} - 3x_i x_j), \\ F_{\alpha\beta} &= -i(\tau_i\epsilon)_{\alpha\beta}x^i \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}\theta\epsilon\theta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (275)$$

The non-singular canonical connection on the lower leaf ($x^3 \leq -1$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A'_i &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij3}\frac{x^j}{1-x^3} \left(1 + \frac{2-x^3}{2(1-x^3)}\theta\epsilon\theta \right), \\ A'_\alpha &= A_\alpha = -i\frac{1}{2}x^i(\theta\tau_i\epsilon)_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (276)$$

and the corresponding curvature is the same (275)

$$F'_{ij} = F_{ij}, \quad F'_{i\alpha} = F_{i\alpha}, \quad F'_{\alpha\beta} = F_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (277)$$

The present base manifold is a super-extension of the two-leaf hyperboloid, and as in the bosonic case, the topological structure of the canonical connection on each leaf might be trivial.

References

- [1] Heinz Hopf, "Über die Abbildungen der dreidimensionalen Sphäre auf die Kugelfläche", *Mathematische Annalen* 104 (1931) 637-665.
- [2] Heinz Hopf, "Über die Abbildungen von Sphären auf Sphären niedrigerer Dimension", *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 25 (1935) 427-440.
- [3] J.F. Adams, "On the Non-existence of Elements of Hopf Invariant One", *Annals of Mathematics* 72 (1960) 20-104.
- [4] Mikio Nakahara, "Geometry, Topology and Physics", (2nd ed.), IOP Publishing, (2003).
- [5] Chia-Hsiung Tze, Feza Gürsey, "On the Role of Division, Jordan and Related Algebras in Particle Physics", World Scientific, (1996).
- [6] Paul Dirac, "Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field", *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A* 133 (1931) 60.
- [7] Chen Ning Yang, "Generalization of Dirac's monopole to $SU(2)$ gauge fields", *J. Math. Phys.* 19 (1978) 320.
- [8] Bernard Grossman, Thomas W. Kephart, James D. Stasheff, "Solutions To Yang-Mills Field Equations In Eight-Dimensions And The Last Hopf Map", *Commun.Math.Phys.* 96 (1984) 431, Erratum-ibid.100 (1985) 311.
- [9] See for instance, John C. Baez, "The Octonions", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 39 (2002), 145-205. Errata in *Bull Amer. Math. Soc.* 42 (2005), 213; math/0105155.
- [10] William Rowan Hamilton, "On a new Species of Imaginary Quantities connected with a theory of Quaternions", *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy*, 2 (1844), pp. 424-434.
- [11] James Cockle, "On Certain Functions Resembling Quaternions, and on a New Imaginary Algebra", *Phil. Mag.* (3) 33 (1848) 435-439.
- [12] James Cockle, "On a New Imaginary in Algebra", *Phil. Mag.* (3) 34 (1849) 37-47. "On Systems of Algebra Involving more than one Imaginary and on Equations of the Fifth Degree", *Phil. Mag.* (3) 35 (1849) 434-437.
- [13] Alexander Macfarlane, "Principles of the Algebra of Physics", *Proceedings of the American Association for the Advancement of Science* 40 (1892) 65-117.
- [14] Shou-Cheng Zhang, Jiangping Hu "A Four Dimensional Generalization of the Quantum Hall Effect", *Science* 294 (2001) 823; cond-mat/0110572.
- [15] B.A. Bernevig, J.P. Hu, N. Toumbas, S.C. Zhang "The Eight Dimensional Quantum Hall Effect and the Octonions", *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 91 (2003) 236803; cond-mat/0306045.

- [16] Taichiro Kugo, Paul K. Townsend, “*Supersymmetry and the Division Algebras*”, Nucl.Phys.B221 (1983) 357.
- [17] J.M. Evans, “*Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories and Division Algebras*”, Nucl.Phys.B298 (1988) 92.
- [18] Anthony Sudbery, “*Division algebras, (pseudo)orthogonal groups and spinors*”, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17 (1984) 939-955.
- [19] K.W. Chung, Anthony Sudbery, “*Octonions and the Lorentz and Conformal Groups Of Ten-Dimensional Space-Time*”, Phys.Lett.B198 (1987) 161.
- [20] R. Foot, G.C. Joshi, “*Nonstandard Signature of Spacetime, Superstrings, and the Split Composition Algebras*”, Lett.Math.Phys. 19 (1990) 65-71.
- [21] R. Foot, G.C. Joshi, “*An Application of The Division Algebras, Jordan Algebras and Split Composition Algebras*”, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A 7 (1992) 4395-4413.
- [22] Kazuki Hasebe, “*Split-Quaternionic Hopf Map, Quantum Hall Effect, and Twistor Theory*”, Phys.Rev. D 81 (2010) 041702(R); arXiv:0902.2523.
- [23] C M Hull “*Doubled Geometry and T-Folds*”, JHEP0707:080,2007; hep-th/0605149.
- [24] C.M. Hull, R.R.Khuri “*Branes, Times and Dualities*”, Nucl.Phys. B536 (1998) 219-244; hep-th/9808069.
- [25] Zhanna Kuznetsova, Francesco Toppan “*Superalgebras of (split-)division algebras and the split octonionic M-theory in (6,5)-signature*”, hep-th/0610122.
- [26] G. Landi, G. Marmo, “*Extensions of Lie Superalgebras and Supersymmetric Abelian Gauge Fields*”, Phys.Lett.B193 (1987) 61.
- [27] Giovanni Landi, “*Projective Modules of Finite Type over the Supersphere $S^{2,2}$* ”, Differ.Geom.Appl. 14 (2001) 95-111; math-ph/9907020.
- [28] Kazuki Hasebe, Yusuke Kimura, “*Fuzzy Supersphere and Supermonopole*”, Nucl.Phys.B709 (2005) 94-114; hep-th/0409230.
- [29] Kazuki Hasebe, “*Hyperbolic Supersymmetric Quantum Hall Effect*”, Phys.Rev.D78 (2008) 125024, arXiv:0809.4885.
- [30] M. Gonzales, Z. Kuznetsova, A. Nersessian, F. Toppan, V. Yeghikyan, “*Second Hopf map and supersymmetric mechanics with Yang monopole*”, Phys.Rev.D80 (2009) 025022; arXiv:0902.2682.
- [31] Giovanni Landi, Chiara Pagani, Cesare Reina, “*A Hopf bundle over a quantum four-sphere from the symplectic group*”, Commun.Math.Phys. 263 (2006) 65-88; math/0407342.

- [32] Lionel J. Mason “*Global anti-self-dual Yang-Mills fields in split signature and their scattering*”, J. Reine Angew. Math. 597 (2006) 105-133, math-ph/0505039.
- [33] Novica Blažić, “*Paraquaternionic projective space and pseudo-Riemannian geometry*”, Publications de l’Institut Mathématique 60 (1996) 101-107.